

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTO		D INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/096,611	06/12/98	JOHNSON		<b>L</b>	PMS225528
<del>-</del> .		QM31/0519			EXAMINER
PHILSBURY MADISON & SUTRO INTELLECTUAL PROFERTY GROUP 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER				WILLSE	, p
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	
WASHINGTON I	)C 20005-39:	918		DATE MAILE	<b>):</b> 05/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/096,611

**JOHNSON** 

Examiner

**Dave Willse** 

Group Art Unit 3738



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 12, 1998	·		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			
☐ Claims			
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.		
X The drawing(s) filed on	red to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	f the priority documents have been		
☐ received.			
$\square$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun	nber)		
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
	THE FOLLOWING DAGES		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FULLOWING PAGES		

Office Action Summary

Serial Number: 09/096,611

Art Unit: 3738

The drawings are objected to because Figures 1-4 should be labeled as "PRIOR ART". Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect(s) can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Koeneman et al., US 4,750,905.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Imhof, EP 0 528 284 A1.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imhof, EP 0 528 284 A1. Biocompatible metals and biodegradable materials and commonly used in the art

Serial Number: 09/096,611 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

and would have been obvious from their respective advantages, such as relatively high strength in the case of metals and osseointegration in the case of bioresorbable materials.

Claims 1, 4, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Draenert, WO 94/08534.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Draenert, WO 94/08534. The particular materials would have been obvious for reasons cited above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The supervisory patent examiner is Mickey Yu, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2672. The receptionist's phone number is (703) 308-0858, and the main FAX numbers are (703) 305-3591, 3590.

dhw: D. Willse May 13, 1999 DAVE WILLSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3738